

## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <a href="http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content">http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content</a>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

formal or clerical errors in the entries of the clerk may be corrected by nunc pro tunc orders. Knefel v. People (1900) 187 Ill. 212, 58 N. E. 388. Omissions as to ordinary procedural matters may also be supplied, to show, for instance, the return of an indictment, see May v. People (1879) 92 Ill. 343, the entry of a plea of not guilty, see State v. Gibson (1910) 67 W. Va. 548, 68 S. E. 295, the fact that judgment and sentence were duly rendered, State v. Gordon (1906) 196 Mo. 185, 95 S. W. 420, and that the accused waived a jury trial, and was committed to jail in default of paying a fine. See In re McQuown (1907) 19 Okla. 347, 91 Pac. 689. In the principal case, the clerk omitted to record interlocutory motions for a continuance, made by the accused. The matter omitted was purely procedural, and its insertion imposed no additional penalty on the petitioner. The court acted fully within its powers in ordering the correction to be made.

EVIDENCE—PRESUMPTION OF DEATH—UNEXPLAINED ABSENCE.—In a proceeding to assess a transfer tax on the estate of one A who died in 1915, it was found that his brother had disappeared in 1894 and could not be traced. *Held*, since there is a presumption that one who has not been heard of for seven years is dead at the end of that time, the brother will be presumed to have died before A. *In re Rowe* (Surr. Ct. 1918) 58 N. Y. L. J. 1961.

It is well settled that a presumption of death will arise after an unaccounted absence of seven years, 2 Chamberlayne, Evidence §§ 1093, 1094, but the decisions are conflicting as to the existence of any presumption of the time of death. A number of American jurisdictions hold, in accordance with several English cases, now overruled, that, as there is a presumption of life till rebutted by the presumption of death, death must be presumed to have occurred at the end of the seventh year. Donovan v. Major (1911) 253 Ill. 179, 97 N. E. 231; Baker v. Fidelity Title & Trust Co. (1913) 55 Pa. Super. Ct. 15; In re Benham (1868) L. R. 4 Eq. 416. Admittedly, however, it is most unlikely that death occurred at that time, and it would appear that a presumption of life must cease some time before a presumption of death arises. 9 Columbia Law Rev. 435; 3 Virginia Law Rev. 451. The sounder view, now held in England and in many American jurisdictions, is that the only presumption as to the time of death is that it occurred sometime during the seven year period. In re Phelne's Trusts (1870) 5 Ch. App. \*139; Davie v. Briggs (1878) 97 U. S. 628; see Spahr v. Mutual Life Ins. Co. (1906) 98 Minn. 471, 108 N. W. 4. It was unnecessary for the court in the principal case to decide whether there was a presumption of death at a particular time within the first seven years of disappearance since the absentee disappeared more than seven years before the death of his brother, and the court carefully refrained from expressing any opinion on that point. It was soundly held, however, that the absentee would be presumed to be dead at the end of the seven years and, therefore, to have died before his brother A. Matter of Benjamin (1913) 155 App. Div. 233, 131 N. Y. Supp. 1091, accord.

Insurance—"Accident"—Probable Result of Ordinary Acts.—The deceased, insured against death or injury by "violent, external and accidental means", having a boil on his neck, rubbed it with his soiled hands to relieve an irritation, and thereby broke the scab, permitting germs of erysipelas to enter. The jury found that death resulted from